

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/894,756 | Applicant(s) ONIZUKA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner DHIRU R PATEL | Art Unit 2831 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gerhard Thielman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The above listed claims are being revised to clear up 112 second paragraph problems.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Dhiru R Patel
Primary Examiner

 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 717104

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)